

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,605	10/22/2003	Stephen D. Shew	PAT 2720-2	4817
26123 BORDEN LAI	7590 08/08/2007 ONER GERVAIS LLP	·	EXAMINER	
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			JONES, PRENELL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
05" - 4 - 4	10/689,605	SHEW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
.'	Prenell P. Jones	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repli- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 April 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ion priority under 25 LLC C S 1	10(a) (d) ar (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phonty under 33 0.3.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) or (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		lication No.			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	·				
application from the International Bur	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date mal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/24/0<b>3</b></u> , <u>4/22/05</u> , .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/689,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 35, it starts with steps (g) & (h), but where are the preceding steps?

Regarding claim 36, Applicant is claiming "method is effected without upgrading any one of the plurality of NEs," which is unclear to Examiner as to what exactly Applicants intent.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1,
 "including" should be "encoded with" to comply with interim guidelines. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 35, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wing So (US PGPUB 20020109879).

Regarding claim 35, Wing discloses improving communications network that has a plurality of NEs, wherein the architecture includes a WDM optical network that consist of a server and routers/network elements, whereby a server/router utilize combined information that will be carried and shared between network elements via OSPF routing function (paragraph 0079, 0190, 0377).

Regarding claim 48 and 49, Wing discloses an internetworking communication system and method for providing network configuration and control information, wherein the architecture includes servers in a WDM optical switching environment wherein communication exists among a plurality of network elements, such as routers (NEs), whereby the network elements process (demodulate/decode) control traffic information/control messages via input/output ports (Abstract, paragraphs 0004, 0079, 0086, 0087, 0102, 0103, 0106, 0107, 0109, 0141, 0322, 0347, 0356, 0364, 0367, 0606, 0942, and MPLS control plane being implemented on OXCs and LSRs and bearer channels/plane can be activated and deactivated thereby implementing server/router exclusion from bearer plane (paragraph 0408, 0436, 0461-0469).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2616

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-34, 37-47 and 50-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wing (US PGPUB 20020109879) in view of Duncan et al (US PGPUB 20020154606).

Regarding claim 46, as indicated above, Wing discloses improving communications network that has a plurality of NEs, wherein the architecture includes a WDM optical network that consist of a server and routers/network elements, whereby a server/router utilize combined information that will be carried and shared between network elements via OSPF routing function (paragraph 0079, 0190, 0377).

Although Wing is not specific on databases include true topology information with respect to NEs, in a data communication network that supports fiber optics, Duncan discloses network management and determining the topology of a network (Abstract, paragraph 0005, 0026, 0047), wherein the architecture includes processing configuration as associated with links/ports/paths, which is added to network topology so that the true

Art Unit: 2616

topology of network is provided, wherein the tables/database store data such as ports and links as associated with network elements (paragraph 0021, 0022, 0023, 0036, 0045, 0048, 0049, 0051).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to implement databases that include true topology information with respect to NEs as taught by Duncan with the teachings of Wing for the purpose of further managing the network configuration as it is associated in a client-server environment.

Regarding claim 47, Wing further discloses each routing/server area maintaining a link state database (paragraph 0079, 0141, 0436).

Regarding claim 1, Wing discloses an internetworking communication system and method for providing network configuration and control information, wherein the architecture includes servers in a WDM optical switching environment wherein communication exists among a plurality of network elements, such as routers (NEs), whereby the network elements process (demodulate/decode) control traffic information/control messages via input/output ports (Abstract, paragraphs 0004, 0079, 0086, 0087, 0102, 0103, 0106, 0107, 0109, 0141, 0322, 0347, 0356, 0364, 0367, 0606, 0942, I/0 exchanging control messages with client NEs), routers, which inherently includes a processor (processing entity), releases messages by releasing resources (paragraph 0103, 0179, 0190, 0206, 0216, processing entity for implementing routing function that provides routing resources to client NEs), and routing area/router may contain a link state database containing fiber links, optical channel trails, and label switched paths, routers associated with databases (paragraph 0084, 0103, 0436, 944,

Art Unit: 2616

processing entity operative to interact with a database about client NEs during implementation of routing function).

Although Wing is not specific on whether databases include true topology information with respect to NEs, in a data communication network that supports fiber optics, Duncan discloses network management and determining the topology of a network (Abstract, paragraph 0005, 0026, 0047), wherein the architecture includes processing configuration as associated with links/ports/paths, which is added to network topology so that the true topology of network is provided, wherein the tables/database store data such as ports and links as associated with network elements (paragraph 0021, 0022, 0023, 0036, 0045, 0048, 0049, 0051).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to implement databases that include true topology information with respect to NEs as taught by Duncan with the teachings of Wing for the purpose of further managing the network configuration as it is associated in a client-server environment.

Regarding claim 15, claim 15 includes the limitations of claim 1, but in the form of a computer readable storage medium that includes a program element on a server for execution of desired functions. So, claim 15 is rejected for the same reason that claim 1 is rejected, because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to utilize executable computer programs as to carry out desired function of a computer device (server).

Regarding claim 25, claim 25 includes the limitations of claim 1, but in the form of a method that includes performing steps for providing routing functions, claim 25 is

Art Unit: 2616

rejected for the same reason that claim 1 is rejected, because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to utilize method steps as to carry out desired function of a computer device (server).

Regarding claim 2, 16, 24, 26 and 37, Wing further discloses an optional architectural deployment, which includes the use of a single instance of a control plane (paragraph 0434, 0436).

Regarding claim 3, 17, 27 and 38, Wing further discloses servers/routers supporting CR-LDP (Constraint-based Routing—Label Distributed Protocol (paragraph 0179).

Regarding claim 4, 18, 28 and 39, Wing further discloses selecting routing protocols from a group consisting of OSPF, IS-IS and PNNI (paragraph 0136, 0410, 0469, 0793).

Regarding claim 5, 19 and 29, Wing further discloses OSPF routing function being able to be used for optical network topology discovery and distribution, as well as for route computation and path selection (paragraph 0341, 0347).

Regarding claims 6-9, 20-23, 30-33 and 40-44, Wing further discloses that the intelligent optical network may include a plurality of network elements (NEs) wherein all work as peers to dynamically establish optical paths through the network (paragraph 0078), and representation of the full physical network topology along with available resources on each link are obtained and updated via OSPF link state advertisements

Art Unit: 2616

(paragraph 0190, 0292, 0296), and topology advertisement associated with signaling protocol can be based on existing protocols, networks, network elements (paragraph 0331, 0341, 0346, 0472, 0494).

Regarding claim 10, 24, 34 and 45, Wing further discloses combined information that will be carried and shared between network elements (paragraph 0079, 0377).

Regarding claim 11 and 12, Wing further discloses MPLS control plane being implemented on OXCs and LSRs and bearer channels/plane can be activated and deactivated thereby implementing server/router exclusion from bearer plane (paragraph 0408, 0436, 0461-0469).

Regarding claim 13, Wing further discloses utilizing network elements selected in the group of an ATM and SONET cross-connect/packet over SONET (paragraph 0079, 0182, 0285, 0290, 0330, 0419).

Regarding claim 14, Wing further discloses each routing/server area maintaining a link state database (paragraph 0079, 0141, 0436).

Regarding claim 50 and 52, as indicated above, Wing discloses an internetworking communication system and method for providing network configuration and control information, wherein the architecture includes servers in a WDM optical switching environment wherein communication exists among a plurality of network elements, such as routers (NEs), whereby the network elements process (demodulate/decode) control traffic information/control messages via input/output ports

Art Unit: 2616

(Abstract, paragraphs 0004, 0079, 0086, 0087, 0102, 0103, 0106, 0107, 0109, 0141, 0322, 0347, 0356, 0364, 0367, 0606, 0942, I/0 exchanging control messages with client NEs), routers, which inherently includes a processor (processing entity), releases messages by releasing resources (paragraph 0103, 0179, 0190, 0206, 0216, processing entity for implementing routing function that provides routing resources to client NEs), and routing area/router may contain a link state database containing fiber links, optical channel trails, and label switched paths, routers associated with databases (paragraph 0084, 0103, 0436, 944, processing entity operative to interact with a database about client NEs during implementation of routing function), and all work as peers to dynamically establish optical paths through the network (paragraph 0078), and representation of the full physical network topology along with available resources on each link are obtained and updated via OSPF link state advertisements (paragraph 0190, 0292, 0296), and topology advertisement associated with signaling protocol can be based on existing protocols, networks, network elements (paragraph 0331, 0341, 0346, 0472, 0494).

Although Wing is not specific on whether databases include true topology information with respect to NEs, in a data communication network that supports fiber optics, Duncan discloses network management and determining the topology of a network (Abstract, paragraph 0005, 0026, 0047), wherein the architecture includes processing configuration as associated with links/ports/paths, which is added to network topology so that the true topology of network is provided, wherein the tables/database store data such as ports and links as associated with network elements (paragraph 0021, 0022, 0023, 0036, 0045, 0048, 0049, 0051).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to databases include true topology information with

Art Unit: 2616

respect to NEs as taught by Duncan with the teachings of Wing for the purpose of further managing the network configuration as it is associated in a client-server environment.

Regarding claim 51, Wing further discloses selecting routing protocols from a group consisting of OSPF, IS-IS and PNNI (paragraph 0136, 0410, 0469, 0793).

Regarding claim 53 and 54, Wing further discloses MPLS control plane being implemented on OXCs and LSRs and server/router exclusion from bearer plane (paragraph 0408, 0436, 0461-0469).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Prenell P. Jones

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 2, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER